

## Symmetrization of the self-energy integral in the Yakhot-Orszag renormalization-group calculation

Malay K. Nandy

*Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg, Bhubaneswar 751 005, India*

(Received 2 July 1996)

A further modification (through proper symmetrization of the self-energy integral) on Wang and Wu's modified calculations [Phys. Rev. E **48**, 37 (1993)] reproduces Yakhot and Orszag's result [J. Sci. Comput. **1**, 3 (1986)]. [S1063-651X(97)01602-4]

PACS number(s): 47.27.-i, 11.10.Gh

The dynamic renormalization-group (RG) approach of Ma and Mazenko [1] has been mainly a tool to study the large-scale long-time properties of Navier-Stokes fluids driven by a random external noise, first used by Forster, Nelson, and Stephen [2]. A generalization given by DeDominicis and Martin [3] includes the Kolmogorov spectrum of strong turbulence for a particular value of a parameter  $\epsilon (=4)$  coming from the correlation of the random external stirring. Yakhot and Orszag [4,5] carried out the renormalization-group calculation based on these ideas, and obtained various universal amplitudes associated with Kolmogorov turbulence (including the case of a passive scalar), in remarkable agreement with experimental numbers. However, Yakhot and Orszag used a  $\epsilon$ -expansion scheme (commonly used in critical phenomena) in their calculations, where one sets  $\epsilon=0$  in the calculated amplitudes (which is equivalent to extracting the ultraviolet pole in the self-energy integral). This has led to objections [6], following which Wang and Wu [7] have suggested a modification of the RG calculation.

In this paper, we would like to show that a further modification in Wang and Wu's calculations, through proper symmetrization of the self-energy integral, gives back the result of the Yakhot and Orszag calculation.

The inertial range turbulence has been modeled by the randomly driven Navier-Stokes equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = - \frac{\nabla P}{\rho_0} + \nu_0 \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f} \quad (1)$$

along with the incompressibility condition

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  and  $P(\mathbf{x}, t)$  are the velocity and pressure fields,  $\rho_0$  the density, and  $\nu_0$  the kinematic viscosity of the fluid; the dynamics, having been modeled to be driven by the random stirring force  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , have been assumed to have a Gaussian white-noise statistics with the correlation

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega) f_j(\mathbf{k}', \omega') \rangle = F(k) P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) [2\pi]^d \delta^d(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \times [2\pi] \delta(\omega + \omega') \quad (3)$$

in the Fourier space, where  $P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = (\delta_{ij} - k_i k_j / |\mathbf{k}|^2)$ , and

$$F(k) = \frac{2D_0}{k^y}. \quad (4)$$

In the Fourier-transformed space, Eqs. (1) and (2) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} & (-i\omega + \nu_0 k^2) u_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \\ &= f_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega) - \frac{i\lambda_0}{2} P_{ijl}(\mathbf{k}) \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q} d\omega'}{[2\pi]^{d+1}} \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{p} d\omega''}{[2\pi]^{d+1}} \\ & \quad \times u_j(\mathbf{q}, \omega') u_l(\mathbf{p}, \omega'') [2\pi]^d \delta^d(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \\ & \quad \times [2\pi] \delta(\omega' + \omega'' - \omega) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$k_j u_j(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where  $P_{ijl}(\mathbf{k}) = k_j P_{il}(\mathbf{k}) + k_l P_{ij}(\mathbf{k})$  and  $\lambda_0 (=1)$  is the formal expansion parameter. An ultraviolet cutoff at a wave number  $\Lambda$  to the wave-vector integration is assumed, corresponding to the "internal" (viscous) cutoff.

Now, one eliminates (i.e., integrates away) the "fast" modes  $\mathbf{u}^>(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$  lying in the band  $\Lambda e^{-r} < k < \Lambda$ , leading to an equation for the "slow" modes  $\mathbf{u}^<(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$  (belonging to  $0 < k < \Lambda e^{-r}$ ) given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (-i\omega + \nu_0 k^2) u_i^<(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \\ &= f_i^<(\mathbf{k}, \omega) - \frac{i\lambda_0}{2} P_{ijl}(\mathbf{k}) \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q} d\omega'}{[2\pi]^{d+1}} \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{p} d\omega''}{[2\pi]^{d+1}} \\ & \quad \times u_j^<(\mathbf{q}, \omega') u_l^<(\mathbf{p}, \omega'') [2\pi]^d \delta^d(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \\ & \quad \times [2\pi] \delta(\omega' + \omega'' - \omega) + R_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with

$$R_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = - \sum_{ij}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) u_j^<(\mathbf{k}, \omega), \quad (8)$$

which, when taken on to the left-hand side in Eq. (7), gives correction to the bare ‘‘viscosity’’  $\nu_0 k^2$ , given by the self-energy

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\Sigma_{ik}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \\
 & = 4 \left( -\frac{i\lambda_0}{2} \right) P_{ijl}(\mathbf{k}) \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q} d\omega'}{[2\pi]^{d+1}} \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{p} d\omega''}{[2\pi]^{d+1}} \\
 & \quad \times Q_{jm}^>(\mathbf{q}, \omega') G_{ln}^>(\mathbf{p}, \omega'') \left( -\frac{i\lambda_0}{2} \right) P_{nmk}(\mathbf{p}) \\
 & \quad \times [2\pi]^d \delta^d(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) [2\pi] \delta(\omega' + \omega'' - \omega) \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $G_{ij}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = (-i\omega + \nu_0 k^2)^{-1} P_{ij}(\mathbf{k})$  being the propagator and  $Q_{ik} = G_{ij} F_{jl} G_{lk}^*$  the velocity correlation. Using the property of isotropy,  $X_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = X(k) P_{ij}(\mathbf{k})$ , and carrying out the frequency integrations, we obtain from Eq. (9)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_{ik}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) & = \lambda_0^2 P_{ijl}(\mathbf{k}) \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q}}{[2\pi]^d} \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{p}}{[2\pi]^d} P_{jm}(\mathbf{q}) P_{lmk}(\mathbf{p}) \\
 & \quad \times \frac{F(q)}{2\nu_0 q^2 - i\omega + \nu_0 q^2 + \nu_0 p^2} \\
 & \quad \times [2\pi]^d \delta^d(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}). \quad (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the  $\delta$  function in Eq. (10) to integrate *only* over  $\mathbf{p}$  leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_{ik}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) & = \lambda_0^2 P_{ijl}(\mathbf{k}) \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q}}{[2\pi]^d} P_{jm}(\mathbf{q}) P_{lmk}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) \\
 & \quad \times \frac{F(q)}{2\nu_0 q^2 - i\omega + \nu_0 q^2 + \nu_0 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}|^2}. \quad (11)
 \end{aligned}$$

At this point, Yakhot and Orszag make the substitution  $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}/2$  in Eq. (11), and evaluate the integral in the limit  $k \rightarrow 0$  and  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  by extracting the leading contribution from the region  $q \gg k$ , yielding

$$\Sigma_{ij}^{\text{YO}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \left[ \frac{S_d}{[2\pi]^d} \frac{d^2 - d - \epsilon}{2d(d+2)} \right] \frac{\lambda_0^2 D_0}{\nu_0^2} \left( \frac{\epsilon^{er} - 1}{\epsilon \Lambda^\epsilon} \right) k^2 P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) \quad (12)$$

where

$$\epsilon = 4 + y - d \quad (13)$$

is the small parameter of the RG theory, which is to be set to zero in the square bracket.

Wang and Wu do not make the substitution  $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}/2$  in Eq. (11). Using expansions similar to those of Yakhot and Orszag, their calculations yield

$$\Sigma_{ij}^{\text{WW}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \left[ \frac{S_d}{[2\pi]^d} \frac{d^2 - d}{2d(d+2)} \right] \frac{\lambda_0^2 D_0}{\nu_0^2} \left( \frac{\epsilon^{er} - 1}{\epsilon \Lambda^\epsilon} \right) k^2 P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) \quad (14)$$

where the quantity in square brackets is found to be independent of  $\epsilon$ , alleviating one from setting  $\epsilon = 0$ .

However, we point out that there is no reason to prefer to do the  $\mathbf{p}$  integration first in Eq. (10). It is also equally possible to do the  $\mathbf{q}$  integration first. Adding the results of the two integrations gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\Sigma_{ik}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) & = \lambda_0^2 P_{ijl}(\mathbf{k}) \left[ \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q}}{[2\pi]^d} P_{jm}(\mathbf{q}) \right. \\
 & \quad \times P_{lmk}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) \frac{F(q)}{2\nu_0 q^2 - i\omega + \nu_0 q^2 + \nu_0 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}|^2} \\
 & \quad + \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{p}}{[2\pi]^d} P_{jm}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) P_{lmk}(\mathbf{p}) \\
 & \quad \left. \times \frac{F(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|)}{2\nu_0 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2 - i\omega + \nu_0 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2 + \nu_0 p^2} \right]. \quad (15)
 \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the integrals in the RG limit  $k \rightarrow 0$  and  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  by picking up the leading contribution from the regions  $q \gg k$  and  $p \gg k$ , we find from Eq. (15)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) & = \left[ \frac{S_d}{[2\pi]^d} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{d^2 - d}{2d(d+2)} + \frac{d^2 + d - 8 - 2y}{2d(d+2)} \right\} \right] \\
 & \quad \times \frac{\lambda_0^2 D_0}{\nu_0^2} \left( \frac{\epsilon^{er} - 1}{\epsilon \Lambda^\epsilon} \right) k^2 P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

the first term being the (half of) Wang and Wu’s result, whereas, the second term does depend on  $y$  (and therefore on  $\epsilon$ ).

Using Eq. (13), it can easily be seen that this result [Eq. (16)] reduces to the Yakhot-Orszag result, Eq. (12). It should be noted that, quite like Wang and Wu’s calculations, we did not make any replacement like  $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}/2$  or  $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}/2$  to get the result [Eq. (16)] from Eq. (15).

We have thus made a proper symmetrization of the self-energy integral [given by Eq. (10)] by giving no preference to one integral over the other through the use of the  $\delta$  function. Yakhot and Orszag’s RG calculations achieve the symmetrization through the substitution  $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}/2$  in Eq. (11). Although it changes the limit of integration to  $\Lambda e^{-r} < |\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}/2| < \Lambda$ , this substitution reproduces the result in Eq. (16) in the leading order mainly because  $k \ll \Lambda$ .

This work has been supported by the Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.

- [1] S. K. Ma and G. Mazenko, *Phys. Rev. B* **11**, 4077 (1975).
- [2] D. Forster, D. R. Nelson, and M. J. Stephen, *Phys. Rev. A* **16**, 732 (1977).
- [3] C. DeDominicis and P. C. Martin, *Phys. Rev. A* **19**, 419 (1979).
- [4] V. Yakhot and S. A. Orszag, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 1722 (1986).
- [5] V. Yakhot and S. A. Orszag, *J. Sci. Comput.* **1**, 3 (1986).
- [6] W. D. McComb, *The Physics of Fluid Turbulence* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1990).
- [7] X-H. Wang and F. Wu, *Phys. Rev. E* **48**, 37 (1993).